This article was downloaded by: [Malmo Hogskola] On: 19 December 2011, At: 23:28 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Asian Natural Products Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ganp20

A new diterpenoid from Rhizophora apiculata

Ming-Zhe Gao $^{\rm a}$, Xiao-Yan Yuan $^{\rm a}$, Meng-Chun Cheng $^{\rm a}$, Hong-Bin Xiao $^{\rm a}$ & Shi-Xiang Bao $^{\rm b}$

^a Key Laboratory of Separation Science for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian, 116023, China

^b Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Haikou, 571101, China

Available online: 13 Jul 2011

To cite this article: Ming-Zhe Gao, Xiao-Yan Yuan, Meng-Chun Cheng, Hong-Bin Xiao & Shi-Xiang Bao (2011): A new diterpenoid from Rhizophora apiculata , Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 13:8, 776-779

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2011.589838</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



A new diterpenoid from *Rhizophora apiculata*

Ming-Zhe Gao^a, Xiao-Yan Yuan^a, Meng-Chun Cheng^a, Hong-Bin Xiao^a* and Shi-Xiang Bao^b

^aKey Laboratory of Separation Science for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; ^bInstitute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Haikou 571101, China

(Received 26 January 2011; final version received 17 May 2011)

A new diterpenoid, 15(S)-isopimar-7-en-1-oxo-15,16-diol (1), was isolated from the stems of mangrove plant *Rhizophora apiculata*. The structure of the new compound was elucidated by MS, IR, 1D, and 2D NMR techniques, including HMQC, HMBC, and NOESY correlations. In addition, seven known constituents were isolated from this plant for the first time.

Keywords: Rhizophora apiculata; mangrove plant; diterpenoid

1. Introduction

Mangroves are composed of a large group of different salt-tolerant plants growing in tropical and subtropical intertidal estuarine areas. These plants, constantly subjected to tidal flushing with the ability to live in salt water, have specially adapted their own morphological structures and physiological mechanisms to the harsh natural surrounding [1]. In addition to the ecological and social-economic values, Rhizophora apiculata, a typical species of mangrove plants, has been used as an astringent for diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting, and as an sterilizing agent, deodorizer, and growth-promoting agent in China, India, Thailand, and Malaysia for ages [2,3]. It was reported that the extract of R. apiculata showed antioxidant and antiviral activities [3-6]. But only a few compounds such as triterpenes and phenolic compounds had been isolated from this plant [2,6]. To reveal the novel chemical constituent, a two-step preparative chromatography combining moderate resolution normal phase chromatography with high-resolution reverse-phase chromatography was applied to separate compounds from the extract of R. apiculata. A new diterpenoid compound, named 15(S)-isopimar-7-en-1-oxo-15,16-diol (1), was isolated from the stems of R. apiculata. Seven other known constituents, lupeol (2), ergosta-7,22-dien-3β-ol (3), methyl-ent-16 β ,17-dihydroxy-9(11)kauren-19-oate (4), ent-12,17-epoxy- 16β -hydroxy-9(11)-kauren-19-oate (5), methyl-ent-kaur-9(11)-ent-13,17-expoxy-16-hydroxy-19-oate (**6**), 16(R)-13,17epoxy-16-hydroxy-ent-kaur-9(11)-en-19al (7), and $13,16\alpha,17$ -trihydroxy-ent-9(11)-kauren-19-oic acid (8), were isolated for the first time from the stems of R. apiculata.

2. Results and discussion

Compound 1 was separated as white powder and its molecular formula was determined as $C_{20}H_{32}O_3$ by means of

ISSN 1028-6020 print/ISSN 1477-2213 online © 2011 Taylor & Francis DOI: 10.1080/10286020.2011.589838 http://www.informaworld.com

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: hbxiao@dicp.ac.cn

HR-ESI-MS at m/z 321.2424 [M + H]⁺. In the positive- and negative-ion ESI-MS of 1, quasi-molecular ion peaks were observed at m/z 321 $[M + H]^+$ and 319 $[M - H]^{-}$, respectively. Other peaks at m/z 343 [M + Na]⁺, 375 [M + CH₃OH + Na]⁺, and 351 $[M + CH_3OH - H]^-$ were observed in the positive- and negative-ion ESI-MS of 1. The major IR absorption bands indicated a double bond (1661 cm^{-1}), a carbonyl group (1716 cm^{-1}) , and hydroxyl groups (3418 cm⁻¹). Unambiguous assignments for the ¹H and ¹³C NMR (see Table 1) signals were completed with HMOC, HMBC, and NOESY experiments to confirm the configuration of compound 1. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed signals for an olefinic proton at δ 5.45 (1H, m, H-7) on a triple-substituted double bond, an

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of **1** (recorded at 500/125 MHz in CDCl₃, *J* in Hz).

Position	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$
1		216.8
2	α 2.76 (1H, m)	35.9
	β 2.16 (1H, m)	
3	α 1.77 (1H, m)	42.4
	β 1.66 (1H, m)	
4	•	32.4
5	1.52 (1H, m)	52.5
6	α 2.08 (1H, m)	23.4
	β 1.92 (1H, m)	
7	5.45 (1H, m)	121.0
8		136.0
9	2.41 (1H, m)	44.0
10		49.4
11	α 1.96 (1H, m)	22.1
	β 1.86 (1H, m)	
12	α 1.55 (1H, m)	35.1
	β 1.38 (1H, m)	
13		36.8
14	α 2.76 (1H, m)	45.8
	β 2.16 (1H, m)	
15	3.71 (1H, dd, 9.8, 2.9)	72.8
16	α 3.49 (1H, dd, 10.9, 9.8)	62.4
	β 3.66 (1H, dd, 10.9, 2.9)	
17	0.83 (3H, s)	22.7
18	0.95 (3H, s)	32.8
19	1.13 (3H, s)	22.7
20	1.14 (3H, s)	14.3



Figure 1. Structure and key HMBC correlations of compound **1**.

oxygenated methine at δ 3.71 (1H, dd, J = 9.8, 2.9 Hz, H-15), one oxygen-bearing methylene at δ 3.66 (1H, dd, J = 10.9, 2.9 Hz, H-16 β) and 3.49 (1H, dd, J = 10.9, 9.8 Hz, H-16 α), and four methyl groups at δ 0.83 (3H, s, H₃-17), 0.95 (3H, s, H₃-18), 1.13 (3H, s, H₃-19), and 1.14 (3H, s, H₃-20). ¹³C NMR exhibited signals for a keto signal at δ 216.8 (C-1), two olefinic carbons at δ 121.0 (C-7) and 136.0 (C-8), two oxygenated carbons at δ 72.8 (C-15) and 62.4 (C-16). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data were very close to those of 15(S)-isopimar-7-en-15,16-diol [7], with the exception of the keto carbon at C-1 which could be confirmed by the HMBC correlations between C-1 and H₂-2 at δ 2.76 and 2.16, H₂-3 at δ 1.77 and 1.66, and H₃-20 (see Figure 1). The location of carbon-carbon double bond was deduced to be at C-7 and C-8 based on HMBC correlations between H-7 and C-5 at δ 52.5, C-6 at δ 23.4, C-9 at δ 44.0, and C-14 at δ 45.8. The relative configuration of 1 was determined by the NOESY experiment and comparison of its NMR spectral data with those of 15(S)isopimar-7-en-15,16-diol. The NOESY correlations between H-15 and H-12 α at δ 1.55, H₃-18, and H₃-20 revealed H-15, H₃-18, and H₃-20 at α orientation. The correlations between H-9 at δ 2.41 and H-5 at δ 1.52, H-12 β at δ 1.38, and H-14 β at δ 2.16 indicated H-5 and H-9 at β -orientation. The absolute configuration of asymmetric center of C-15 was determined as S due to the chemical shift of C-15 at δ 72.8 whereas chemical shift at δ 78 indicated *R* configuration [7]. On the basis of all the above evidence, the structure of **1** was established as 15(*S*)-isopimar-7-en-1-oxo-15,16-diol.

Seven known constituents, lupeol (2) [8], ergosta-7,22-dien-3 β -ol (3) [9], methyl-ent-16 β ,17-dihydroxy-9(11)-kauren-19-oate (4) [10], ent-12,17-epoxy-16 β -hydroxy-9(11)-kauren-19-oate (5) [11], methyl-ent-kaur-9(11)-ent-13,17expoxy-16-hydroxy-19-oate (6) [7], 16(*R*)-13,17-epoxy-16-hydroxy-ent-kaur-9(11)-en-19-al (7) [12] and 13,16 α ,17trihydroxy-ent-9(11)-kauren-19-oic acid (8) [13], were identified by comparing their NMR spectral data with those reported in the literature. To our knowledge, this is the first report of compounds 2–8 from *R. apiculata*.

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

Melting point was determined on an X-4 melting point apparatus and is uncorrected (Beijing Tech instrument, Beijing, China). Optical rotation was measured on Jasco-P-1010 spectrophotometer (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). IR spectra were obtained on Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Tucson, AZ, USA). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-500 spectrometer (Bruker, Fallanden, Switzerland) using CDCl₃ as a solvent and TMS as an internal reference. HR-ESI-MS was recorded on Waters Q-TOF premier MS spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and ESI-MS was recorded using Finnigan TSQ instrument (Thermo, San Jose, CA, USA). SiO₂ (100-200 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd, Qingdao, China) was used for normal phase column chromatography. Reverse-phase preparative chromatography was recorded on Waters Delta Prep 4000 HPLC system equipped with Water 2996 diode array detector and Waters Nova-Pak ODS column $(250 \times 20 \text{ mm},$

5 μm). HPLC grade methanol was purchased from Yuwang Chemical (Shandong, China) and other analytical grade chemical solvents were provided by Kemio Chemical (Tianjing, China).

3.2 Plant material

The stems of *R. apiculata* were collected from Hainan Province, China, in June 2009, and identified by Prof. Shi-Xiang Bao. A voucher specimen (No. 090601) has been deposited at Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The collected materials were dried and stored in a dark room.

3.3 Extraction and isolation

The air-dried stems of *R. apiculata* (1 kg) were cut into small pieces and ultrasonically extracted with EtOAc (3×41) . After removal of the solvent by rotating evaporation, the residue (3g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography and eluted with petroleum ether:EtOAc:MeOH gradient system from 100:0:0 to 0:0:100. Eighteen fractions (Fr. 1-18) were obtained according to the TLC analysis result. Preparative reverse-phase highperformance liquid chromatography was used for further separation of the fractions. Compounds 2 (12 mg) and 3 (8 mg) were obtained from Fr. 3 (120 mg) with 100% methanol eluting at 16 ml/min. Fr. 14 (95 mg) was separated with 75% methanol eluting at 16 ml/min to give compounds 1 (8 mg), 4 (5 mg), and 5 (3 mg). Fr. 17 (160 mg) afforded compounds 6 (6 mg), 7 (5 mg), and **8** (4 mg) with 70% methanol eluting at 12 ml/min.

3.3.1 Compound 1

White amorphous solid, mp: $68-70^{\circ}$ C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ 32.4 (*c* 0.48, CH₃Cl); IR (film): ν_{max} 3418, 2930, 2869, 2706, 1716, 1661, 1447 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data: see Table 1; ESI-MS: *m/z* 321 [M + H]⁺, 343 [M + Na]⁺, 375 [M + $CH_3OH + Na]^+$, 319 $[M - H]^-$, $[M + CH_3OH - H]^-$; HR-ESI-MS: m/z321.2424 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{33}O_3$, 321.2430).

3.4 Bioassay

Inhibition of cell growth activity was determined by an MTT assay using human alveolar basal epithelial cells (A549). *Cis*-Diaminedichloroplatinum was used as a positive control. None of these compounds showed remarkable cytotoxic activity against cancer cell A549 at the concentration of 40 µM.

Acknowledgements

This investigation was financially supported by the National Innovative Program (2009ZX09502-023). We are grateful to Modern Analysis Center, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, for measuring IR spectra.

References

- [1] J. Wu, Q. Xiao, J. Xu, M.Y. Li, J.Y. Pan, and M.H. Yang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 25, 955 (2008).
- [2] U. Kokpol, W. Chavasiri, V. Chittawong, and D.H. Miles, J. Nat. Prod. 53, 953 (1990).

- [3] A.Y. Loo, K. Jain, and I. Darah, Food Chem. 104, 300 (2007).
- [4] A.A. Rahim, E. Rocca, J. Steinmetz, M.J. Kassim, M.S. Ibrahim, and H. Osman, *Food Chem.* **107**, 200 (2008).
- [5] M. Premanathan, R. Arakaki, H. Izumi, K. Kathiresan, M. Nakano, N. Yamamoto, and H. Nakashima, *Antiviral Res.* 44, 113 (1999).
- [6] A.Y. Loo, K. Jain, and I. Darah, *Food Chem.* **107**, 1151 (2008).
- [7] C. Subrahmanyam, B.V. Rao, R.S. Ward, M.B. Hursthouse, and D.E. Hibbs, *Phytochemistry* 51, 83 (1999).
- [8] H. Zhang, Y.J. Zhai, Z.Y. Chu, W. Han, Z.D. Pei, F. Cai, X.Y. Zhang, and Y.X. Li, *Chin. J. Anal. Chem.* **35**, 1377 (2007).
- [9] A.C. Keller, M.P. Maillard, and K. Hostettmann, *Phytochemistry* 41, 1041 (1996).
- [10] A.S.R. Anjaneyulu and V.L. Rao, *Phytochemistry* 62, 1207 (2003).
- [11] A.S.R. Anjaneyulu, V.L. Rao, E. Lobkovsky, and J. Clardy, J. Nat. Prod. 65, 592 (2002).
- [12] S.Y. Bao, Z.W. Deng, H.Z. Fu, P. Proksch, and W.H. Lin, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 88, 2757 (2005).
- [13] L. Han, X.S. Hang, I. Sattler, H.M. Dahse, H.Z. Fu, W.H. Lin, and S. Grabley, *J. Nat. Prod.* 67, 1620 (2004).